APPENDIX B CUMBERLAND LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2021 COMPLIANCE TABLE

| Clause | Yes | No | N/A | Comment |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----|---|
| 2.3 R2- Zone objectives and Land | | | | The objectives of the zone have |
| Use Table | \boxtimes | | | been considered in the assessment |
| Objectives of zone | | | | of the development application. |
| • To provide for the housing needs of | | | | The development application is |
| the community within a low density | | | | considered to comply with the fourth |
| residential environment. | | | | objective which is the most relevant objective of the four that are stated. |
| • To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet | | | | objective of the four that are stated. |
| the day to day needs of residents. | | | | The land is within zone R2 (Low |
| • To encourage residential | | | | Density Residential). An |
| development that maintains the amenity of the surrounding area. | | | | educational establishment is determined as being a permissible |
| To ensure that non-residential land | | | | use with consent within the zone. |
| uses are located in a setting that | | | | |
| minimises impacts on the amenity of | | | | The alterations and additions to an educational establishment being the |
| a low-density residential environment. | | | | construction of a two storey |
| | | | | administration building is also |
| | | | | permitted with consent within the zone. |
| 2.6 Subdivision – consent | | | | A subdivision of the land is not |
| requirements | | | | proposed. |
| 2.7 Demolition requires development consent | \square | | | The proposal seeks some demolition works on site to facilitate |
| consent | | | | the proposed alterations and |
| | | | | additions. |
| 4.3 Height of buildings – 9m | | | | The maximum building height is 9 metres for the site. |
| | | | | metres for the site. |
| | | | | The proposed building has a |
| | | | | maximum height of 11.6m to the top of the lift overrun that is located |
| | | | | towards the southern edge of the |
| | | | | building. The remainder of the |
| | | | | building complies with the height |
| | | | | control. |
| | | \boxtimes | | Clause 4.6 request to contravene |
| | | | | the height of building development |
| | | | | standard was submitted with the application. |
| | | | | approduction |
| | | | | The exceedance in building height |
| | | | | is supported in this instance, having regard to the circumstances of the |
| | | | | case. Refer to further discussion in |
| | | | | the main body of report. |
| 4.4 Floor space ratio | | | | The site is not subject to a maximum FSR under the LEP. |
| 4.6 Exceptions to development | | | | A written request in accordance with |
| standards | \square | | | Clause 4.6 of CLEP 2021 to vary the |
| | | | | building height and Density (FSR) development standard was |
| | | | | submitted. |

| Clause | Yes | No | N/A | Comment |
|----------------------------|-------------|----|-------------|---|
| | | | | The exceedance in building height is supported in this instance, having regard to the circumstances of the case. Refer to the discussion in the body of the report. |
| 5.10 Heritage Conservation | | | \boxtimes | N/A - not a Heritage Item or in a Heritage Conservation Area. |
| 5.21 Flood Planning | | | \boxtimes | The site is not identified as a flood control lot. |
| 6.1 Acid Sulfate soils | | | | The site is not identified as affected by acid sulfate soils. |
| 6.2 Earthworks | \boxtimes | | | The proposed earthworks will not have a detrimental effect on existing drainage patterns or soil stability. The proposal will not affect the amenity of adjoining properties. The proposal has been assessed by Council's Development Engineer and no objections were raised. Suitable earthwork-related conditions are imposed ensuring measures are made to satisfy the objectives of this clause. |
| 6.4 Essential Services | \boxtimes | | | Yes – suitable Conditions are recommended for adequate arrangements for the supply of water, electricity, management of sewage stormwater drainage and vehicular access. |
| 6.7 Stormwater management | \boxtimes | | | The development application was referred to Council's Development Engineer for comment who has advised that the development proposal is satisfactory subject to conditions. |
| 6.12 Urban Heat | | | | The proposed landscaping and the materials are considered to be suitable to eliminate solar heat. |